UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,614	04/18/2007	Shigeru Nemoto	KITO11.001APC	3898
20995 7590 08/27/2010 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN ST	REET	HALL, DEANNA K		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3767	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/27/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com efiling@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/580,614	NEMOTO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		DEANNA K. HALL	3767			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) ∑  F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ju</u>	ne 2010				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Lx parte Quayle, 1999 O.B. 11, 400 O.G. 210.						
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4)🛛 (	☑ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 25-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ (	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 25-30</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
P	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P	te			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/580,614 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Acknowledgments**

- 1. This office action is in response to the reply filed on 6/28/10.
- 2. In the reply the applicant canceled claims 1-8, 11-24; amended claims 9-10; added new claims 25-30.
- 3. The 112 second paragraph rejections of claims 9-12 are withdrawn due to applicant's amendment (9-10) and cancellation (11-12) of the claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 09-131400 ("400") in view of Battiato et al. (US 5,925,022) ("Battiato") further in view of Sinha (US 6,644,119).

400 discloses a chemical liquid injector 4 including a cylinder member and a piston member, a cylinder holding mechanism 5, a piston driving mechanism 7, 10, 12. Further, there is a bubble sensor 3 positioned on an outer surface of the cylinder member and alarm notifying means, once the abnormality (bubble or foreign substance)

Art Unit: 3767

is determined the injection may be stopped if necessary by forcedly stopping the piston driving mechanism, [0013-0022], Figs. 1-3.

The presence of an extension tube from the injector 4 to the patient is well known in the art.

400 discloses the invention as substantially claimed (see above). However, 400 does not specify details of its bubble sensor 3. Battiato, in the analogous art, teaches that air bubble detection may be performed by an ultrasonic source and ultrasonic detector coupled to the neck of the syringe C20L66-C21L1. Battiato further teaches that the air bubble detector may be mounted on locations on the syringe other than on the neck C21L3-4, hence on the extension tube. Also, the resonance can be analyzed to detect when air has been evacuated from the syringe after filing C21L4-8. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the bubble sensor of 400 operate by an ultrasonic source and detector as taught by Battiato to prevent injection of air into a patient.

400/Battiato discloses the invention as substantially claimed (see above). However, this combination does not directly disclose determining the type of liquid from the analyzed resonance characteristic or detecting a foreign substance in the liquid from the analyzed resonance characteristic. Sinha, in the analogous art teaches liquid determining means, see abstract, based on resonance characteristics. Also, the Sinha apparatus determines flow and any changes in flow velocity. An air bubble or the presence of a foreign substance would affect the flow velocity which would be recognized by the Sinha apparatus (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 3767

a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the liquid from the resonance characteristics as taught by Sinha since the combination of 400/Battiato already possesses the structure necessary to do so.

It is the examiner's position that a computer unit that displays the data determined would be obvious to a person skilled in the art.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/580,614 Page 5

Art Unit: 3767

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEANNA K. HALL whose telephone number is (571)272-2819. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 11:00am-7:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Deanna K. Hall/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 8/23/10 /KEVIN C. SIRMONS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767 Application/Control Number: 10/580,614

Page 6

Art Unit: 3767